

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,942	10/716,942 11/20/2003		Bodo Homann	028987.52715US	6796	
23911	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER		
CROWELL			PEDDER, DENNIS H			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				3612	
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/00/200	DATE MAIL ED: 02/00/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/716,942	HOMANN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dennis H. Pedder	3612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nuary 2005.						
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,17-27 and 30-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 7-16,28 and 29 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) I he drawing(s) filed on 1/17/05 is/are: a) acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/716,942 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-5, 17-26, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porsche.

Porsche has first device 16 and second device 42 and dimensionally stable material 17/7. The fixing devices are arranged offset to one another in that the pin 42 extends below the edge 16. The edge 16 clearly is caused to engage by movement forward and the pin 42 engages at any position of the slot 41, including that engaged at forward edge of 41. The pin 42 prevents roof movement in lateral direction at any position of the slot 41. The edge 16 prevents vertical movement within the dimension of the seal 18.

As to claim 3, journal 42 projects into a receiving bore.

As to claim 5, section 40 is an insert of the frame.

As to claim 17, the roof is stepped at 16.

As to claims 18-20, Porsche has sealing sections above and below the extension 16, fitting into the walls of the receiving device as well as a groove in figure 5, opening downwardly at right side.

As to claim 22, see locking assembly 28,29.

As to claim 31, the roof of figure 5 of Porsche is dimensionally stable in plan view when installed via members 52,53.

4. Claims 6 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porsche in view of Schroeder et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Porsche a tapered conical pin with shoulder as seen in figure 3 of Schroeder et al.. Rationale is 1) the tapered end would enhance insertion into slot 41 of Porsche and 2) the shoulder would ensure that the roof element extension is located at a fixed position relative to the windshield frame, rather than dependent on an elastomeric member 18.

5. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porsche in view of Steyer-Daimler-Puch AG, cited by applicant as "Von Fuener et al.".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Porsche dual roof members as taught by Steyer-Daimler-Puch AG in order to reduce the weight of the roofs during movement.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,942 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 28, 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Claims 7-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 1/14/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please see the detailed rejection above.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3612

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

DHP 2/7/2005

2/1/05